IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MEDPOINTE HEALTHCARE INC.,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.)) Civil Action No. 06-164-SLR
APOTEX INC. and APOTEX CORP.,)
Defendants.)
	<u></u>

STIPULATED ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed upon by Plaintiff MedPointe

Healthcare Inc. ("MedPointe") and Defendants Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp.

(collectively, "Apotex"), by and through their respective counsel of record, that:

- A. Apotex has submitted ANDA No. 77-954 to the FDA, seeking approval to market a generic version of MedPointe's Astelin® (azelastine hydrochloride) Nasal Spray prior to the expiration of United States Patent No. 5,164,194 ("the '194 patent").
- B. In its Amended Complaint (D.I. 5), MedPointe has alleged, *inter alia*, that Apotex's submission of ANDA No. 77-954 to the FDA constitutes infringement of the '194 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

- C. In its Answer to the Amended Complaint (D.I. 11), Apotex has sought a trial by jury for all matters for which it was entitled to a trial by jury.
- D. MedPointe moved to strike Apotex's jury demand as part of its Motion To Strike Apotex's Jury Demand, Strike Apotex's Affirmative Defense Of Unenforceability, Dismiss Apotex's Counterclaim Of Unenforceability, And Strike Apotex's Affirmative Defense Of Misuse (D.I. 16).
- E. Apotex will agree to voluntarily withdraw its demand for trial by jury if this Court will give it leave to reinstate its demand for trial by jury should circumstances change and MedPointe requests an award of monetary damages.

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Apotex's jury demand is hereby WITHDRAWN without prejudice. Apotex shall have the right to renew its jury demand, and MedPointe shall have the right to move to strike any such renewed demand if MedPointe seeks monetary damages from Apotex in this action.
- 2. The portion of MedPointe's Motion To Strike Apotex's Jury Demand, Strike Apotex's Affirmative Defense Of Unenforceability, Dismiss Apotex's Counterclaim Of Unenforceability, And Strike Apotex's Affirmative Defense Of Misuse (D.I. 16) that seeks to strike Apotex's jury demand is hereby WITHDRAWN without prejudice, and with leave to reinstate should Apotex seek to renew its jury demand.

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER P.A.

POTTER ANDERSON AND CAROON LLP

/s/Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Alyssa M. Schwartz (#4351)
Matthew W. King (#4566)
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 651-7700
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Of counsel:

John M. Desmarais
Peter J. Armenio
Maxine Y. Graham
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
Citigroup Center
153 East 53rd Street
New York, New York 10022
(212) 446-4800

/s/Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) Kenneth L. Dorsney (#3726) Hercules Plaza 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899 Tel: (302) 984-6000 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

Of counsel:

A. Sidney Katz
Robert B. Breisblatt
Steven E. Feldman
Michael A. Krol
Brian J. Sodikoff
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.
120 S. Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 655-1500

SO ORDERED:

HONORABLE SUE L. ROBINSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 19, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing, and hand delivered to the following:

Richard L. Horwitz Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801

I hereby certify that on May 19, 2006, I sent the foregoing document by Federal Express, next business day delivery, to the following non-registered participant:

Robert B. Breisblatt Welsh & Katz, Ltd. 120 South Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Matthew W. King (#4566)

king@rlf.com